Stimulants, Psychosis, & Treatment



David Kan, M.D.

Medical Director, ORT Clinic San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center Assoc. Clinical Professor of Psychiatry, UCSF (415) 812-1092 David.Kan@Gmail.com





Overview

Introduction

- Epidemiology, Manufacture
- Usual Effects
- Psychosis
- Violence
- Treatment



Meth v. Cocaine

Stimulant and local anesthetic Stimulant Man-made Plant-derived Smoking produces a brief high Smoking produces a long-lasting high 50% of the drug is removed from 50% of the drug is removed from the body in 1 hour the body in 12 hours Increases dopamine release and blocks **Blocks dopamine re-uptake** dopamine re-uptake Limited medical use Limited use as a local anesthetic in some surgical procedures

http://www.drugabuse.gov/Researchreports/Methamph/methamph3.html





Methamphetamine Preparations









Department of Veterans Affairs

UCSF

(Meth)Amphetamine

Pharmacology

Additional Methyl (CH₃) Group









Methamphetamine

Pharmacology

- Promotes release of Biogenic Amines
- Vesicular and Cytosoloic Dopamine, Norepinephrine release and reuptake inhibition
- Serotonin release + MAOI (at higher doses)

Route of Administration

- Binge vs. Constant Use
- Oral → Nasal → Smoked → Intravenous
 ------INCREASING POTENCY----->







Drug vs. Violent Crime Incarcerations



Source: Gililard, Darrel K. Trends in U.S. Correctional Populations, 1992. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 1992, and Mumola, Christopher J. and Beck, Alan. Trends in U.S. Correctional Population, 1997. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Statistics, in press.





Number of Persons Imprisoned in California for Drug Offenses



UCCF

Department of Veterans Affairs











Department of Veterans Affairs









Cocaine

Pharmacology

- Rapid Dopamine + Norepinephrine reuptake inhibition
- Benzoylmethylecgonine → Benzoylecgonine (inactive)
- Cocaine + EtOH \rightarrow Cocaethylene (active)

Route of Administration

Oral→Nasal → Smoked → Intravenous
 ------INCREASING POTENCY------>



Cocaine Street Prices

Powder

- \$75-\$100 a gram
- 10-12 lines per gram

Crack

- \$10-\$25 1/10th gram
- \$40 1/4th gram
- ~10 "hits" per gram



Cocaine

Oral

Chewed Leaves

Intranasal

5-20 min peak effects

Smoked

- 1.5 min peak
- 5-15 min duration



Crack Cocaine Manufacture

Ingredients

- 1-2 grams cocaine
- 4 Tbs baking soda
- Bottled Water

Directions

- Mix well
- Spread on Baking Sheet
- Put in oven 350 deg x 25 min or until cracks appear
- Cut up and enjoy!





(Meth)amphetamine Manufacture

Generally two methods of production:

- Reduction of PPA, I-Ephedrine or d-Pseudoephedrine + Red Phosphorous and Hydroiodic acid / Iodine) = 54-82% yield of d-amphetamine
- Same Precursors + Sodium or Lithium metal and Ammonia
 almost 100% pure d-isomer more potent and more pure
- Result usually mixture of amphetamine and methamphetamine
- Ratio of amphetamine vs. methamphetamine in blood and urine cannot be interpreted for time since last use



Logan, BK (2001)



Methamphetamine Use in Past Year among Persons Aged 12 or Older, by State: 2002-04



UCSF







map last updated June 2006

(Meth)Amphetamine Detection

1/2 Life – 10-12 hours

Detection Period –

Urine

- Amphetamine 1-3 days (500 ng/ml cutoff for GC-MS)
- Methamphetamine 3-6 days (250-500 ng/ml cutoff for GC-MS)

Blood

- Methamphetamine 1-3 days
- Therapeutic Blood Levels <50ng/ml</p>
- >100ng/ml consistent with Abuse
- Psychosis and Violence 150-1000ng/ml range (blood)^{1,2}
- Cadaveric Heart unreliable due to diffusion

Frequent false positives

1. Anggard, E., L. M. Gunne, et al. (1970) 2. Angrist, B. Schweitzer, et al. (1969) 3. Barnhart, FE (2001)





Cocaine Detection

- 1/2 Life 1 hour
 - Detection Period
 - Urine
 - Cocaine 6-8 hours
 - Benzoylecgonine 2-4 days up to 8 days in heavy use
 - Blood
 - 5-6 hours post dose parent c
 - Infrequent false positives







Methamphetamine Effects

Acute Usual Effects

Acute Adverse Effects

Mood Disturbance

Withdrawal Syndrome







Logan BK (1998) "Pharmacology of Methamphetamine and its Relationship to Behavior Impairment" AAFS Meeting, Aug 1998



UCSF

Acute Meth Usual Effects

- Flash of euphoria, elevated mood
- Insomnia, alertness, increased energy
- Lack of appetite, thirst, diaphoresis
- Loquaciousness, "crystal clear thinking"
- Hyperacute memory relevant and extraneous stimuli with accurate recall





Acute Meth Adverse Effects

Anxiety

- Progressive stereotyped behavior
- Fear, suspiciousness
- Awareness of being watched
- Peripheral field visual hallucinations

Ellinwood, E. H., Jr. (1967) Connell, P H (1958)





Meth Mood Disturbances

Depression

• 68% Female, 50% Male

Suicide Attempt(s)

- 28% Female, 13% Male
- Causality unclear
- Pathology Greater in IDU, More frequent users¹

Anhedonia^{2,3}

1. Zweben, J. E., J. B. Cohen, et al. (2004)

2. Angrist, B. M. and S. Gershon (1970) 3. Yeh, H. S., Y. C. Lee, et al. (2001)



Meth Withdrawal Syndrome

Anergia, anhedonia, waves of intense craving

"Tweaking" ~24 hours

- Dysphoria, scattered, disorganized thought
- Paranoia/Anxiety/Irritability
- Hypervigilence
- Auditory, tactile hallucinations, delusions
- Normal pupils

"Crashing" ~ 24-72 hours

- Intense Fatigue, catnapping, uncontrollable sleepiness
- Continuing stimulation

Logan, BK (1998)





Meth Acute Toxic Confusion / Delirium

- Uncommon (Involuntary Intoxication)
- Clouding of consciousness subtle¹
- Most Experimental reproductions do not note Acute Toxic Confusion ²⁻⁵
- 1. Beamish, P. and L. G. Kiloh (1960)
- 2. Derlet, R. W., P. Rice, et al. (1989) 3. Griffith, J.D. (1970) 4. Bell, D. S. (1973) 5. Angrist, B. M. and S. Gershon (1970)





Meth Acute Psychosis

- "Model Psychosis"
- Single Dose vs. Repeated High Dose
- English Model Direct Psychotogenesis
 - Young and Scoville 1938
 - Connell 1958
- Japanese Model –Psychosis from Brain Damage
 - Sato, Yui, Wada 1982, 2002, 1976



Meth Acute Psychosis: Risk Factors

- Premorbid Personality Disorder^{1,2,4}
- MA and other substance Abuse/ Dependence^{1,2,3,4}
- Mode of Administration⁵
- Previous Psychosis^{1,2,3,4,5}

Brain Injury³

- 1. Ellinwood, E. H. and S. Cohen (1971)
- 2. Farrell, M., A. Boys, et al. (2002)
- 3. Fujii, D. (2002)
- 4. Iwanami, A., A. Sugiyama, et al. (1994)
- 5. Matsumoto, T., A. Kamijo, et al. (2002)





Meth Acute Psychosis

Psychosis Onset 5-90 hours

Hallucinations Sudden, First to Clear

- Visual Hallucinations > Auditory Hallucinations
- Usually in setting of clear sensorium
- Paranoid, Reality-Based Delusions
- Ideas of Reference
- Residual Symptoms Common
- Thought Disorder Rare
- Restlessness, agitation and excitement

Bell, D. S. (1965) Bell, D. S. (1973) Angrist, B. Schweitzer, et al. (1969)





Meth v. Cocaine Psychosis

Both

- Labile Mood
- Vital Sign Changes
- Auditory and Tactile hallucinations
- Residual symptoms common

Cocaine

- Tends to not last as long
- Overall Less than meth





Meth Chronic Psychosis

Not Recognized by DSM-IV

Japanese Experience

- Large "clean populations" 1950's, 70', 90's
- Brain Damage/Sensitization DA release in Striatum, Nucleus Accumbens
- Acute recurrence of previous psychosis in response to psychosocial stress, low dose MA
- "Settled Psychosis"





Meth Chronic Psychosis

Yui – 116 female prisoners with hx of MAP¹⁻⁷

- 30% had flashbacks
- AH Comments or threats, IOR, 1/2 VH
- Paranoid-Hallucinatory symptoms
- Stressful events common precipitant
- Few Negative Symptoms
- Significantly elevated plasma NE and lesser 3-MT elevation with Flashbacks

1. Yeh, H. S., Y. C. Lee, et al. (2001) 2. Yui, K., K. Goto, et al. (2000) 3. Yui, K., S. Ikemoto, et al. (2002) 4. Yui, K., S. Ikemoto, et al. (2002) 5. Yui, K., T. Ishiguro, et al. (1997) 6. Yui, K., T. Ishiguro, et al. (1998) 7. Yui, K., T. Ishiguro, et al. (2000)





Meth Other Long-Term Effects

- Anhedonia
- Co-morbid substance abuse
- Cognitive and Motor Skills Impairment¹
- Sexuality²
- Risk Taking³

1. Zickler, P. <u>NIDA Notes</u> **17**(1): 1,6 2. Angrist, B. and S. Gershon (1976) 3. Hurst, P.M, et al (1967)





Methamphetamine and Injury

Motor Vehicle

- More common in withdrawal state
- "Meth Rage"
- Impairment similar to Alcohol

Manufacture

- Chemical Exposure
- Explosions

Distribution

- Turf Wars
- Regional Phenomena





Susceptibility to Aggression & Psychiatric Diagnosis



Initiation and Modulation of Aggression







Methamphetamine and Violence

Relationship Controversial

 Drugs and Alcohol combination increases violence and crime risk¹

Methamphetamine

- Association at population level often speculative and not well studied²
- Violence itself is not pharmacological attribute of methamphetamine³
- 1. Klee H, Morris J(1994)
- 2. Sheridan J, et al (2006)



3. Bialer PA(2002)

Methamphetamine and Violence

Domestic/Intimate Partner^{1, 2, 3}

Greatest Association

Methamphetamine vs. Heroin⁴

Meth - Thrill seeking

- Heroin Violence in Drug Seeking Behavior
- 1. Sheridan J, (2006)
- 2. Ernst, A. A., S. J. Weiss, et al. (2008)
- 3. Cohen, J. B., A. Dickow, et al. (2003)
- 4. Klee H, Morris J (1994)





Methamphetamine and Violence

Theories

Disinhibiting influence

- Cognitive Distortion
- Perceptual Disturbance
- Differential Association

Integrative Model

- Individual
- Situational







TREATMENT WORKS!

Methamphetamine Treatment – Relapse Prevention

MATRIX Model

- No significant differences in substance use and functioning between TAU and Matrix groups at discharge and at 6-month followup.
- Had consistently better treatment retention rates than did TAU participants
- 27% greater treatment completion
- 31% greater to have meth-free urine test results while in treatment
- At 6-month followup, > 65% of both Matrix and TAU participants had negative urine tests for methamphetamine and other drugs
- (800) 729-6686 / <u>www.ncadi.samhsa.gov</u>
- Contingency Management
- Limited Medication evidence
 - Modafinil (Provigil)
 - Disulfiram (Antabuse)





Methamphetamine Treatment – Medications

Psychosis

- Atypical and Typical Neuroleptics
 - Acute
 - Chronic

Mood Disorder

- ?
- Exercise





Clinical Assessment of Stimulant Effects

- Spectrum of Symptoms
- Variability
 - Tolerance
 - Dosage

Clinical History is Best Guide

Toxicology not well correlated with psychosis

Angrist, B. Schweitzer, et al. (1969)





Summary

- Stimulant induced states best evaluated by clinical interview, collateral, etc.
- Toxicology helpful but not definitive
- Controlled studies of Stimulants and Violence limited
- Individual hx and specific situation must be taken into account
- Pure MA states rare

